## STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY (SESSION 202 1-22 ODD SEM)

Part-A: Teaching Learning Process

## Year/Semester/Section: III/V/A \& B

| 1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ of Syllabus | $\%$ of Students |
| 85 to $100 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ |
| 70 to $84 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ |
| 55 to $69 \%$ | $16.19 \%$ |
| 30 to $54 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ |
| Below $30 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ |


| $50 \%$ | 40.00\% | 40.00\% |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  | 87.29\% |
| 20\% |  |  | 16.19\% |  |  | 87.29\% |
| 10\% |  |  |  | 2.86\% | 0.95\% | Remark |
| 0\% | 85 to 100\% | 70 to $84 \%$ | 55 to 69\% | 30 to 54\% | Below 30\% | Excellent |


| 2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| Thoroughly | $32.38 \%$ |
| Satisfactorily | $56.19 \%$ |
| Poorly | $10.48 \%$ |
| Indifferently | $0.95 \%$ |
| Won't teach at all | $0.00 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% | 56.19\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  | 88.00\% |
| 40\% | 32.38\% |  |  |  | 0.00\% |  |
| 20\% |  |  | 10.48\% | 0.95\% |  | Remark |
|  | Thoroughly | Satisfactorily | Poorly | Indifferently | Won't teach at all | Excellent |


| 3. How well were the teachers able to communicate? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| Always effective | $46.67 \%$ |
| Sometimes effective | $28.57 \%$ |
| Just satisfactorily | $14.29 \%$ |
| Generally ineffective | $9.52 \%$ |
| Very poor | $0.95 \%$ |
|  |  |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% | 46.67\% |  |  |  |  | 86.57\% |
| 40\% |  | 28.57\% |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  | 14.29\% | $9.52 \%$ | 0.95\% | Remark |
|  | Always effective | Sometimes effective | Just satisfactorily | Generally ineffective | Very poor | Excellent |


| 4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be <br> described as |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| Excellent | $29.52 \%$ |
| Very good | $50.48 \%$ |
| Good | $15.24 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.86 \%$ |
| Poor | $1.90 \%$ |
|  |  |



| 5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by  <br> the teachers.  <br> Ratings $\quad \%$ of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Always fair | $44.76 \%$ |
| Usually fair | $37.14 \%$ |
| Sometimes unfair | $12.38 \%$ |
| Usually unfair | $3.81 \%$ |
| Unfair | $1.90 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% \% Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% $44.76 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  | 87.86\% |
| 20\% |  |  | 12.38\% | 3.81\% | 1.90\% |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
|  | Always fair | Usually fair | Sometimes unfair | Usually unfair | Unfair | Excellent |


| 6. Was your performance in assignments discussed <br> with you? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| Every time | $40.00 \%$ |
| Usually | $35.24 \%$ |
| Occasionally/ Sometime | $14.29 \%$ |
| Rarely | $5.71 \%$ |
| Never | $4.76 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% 40.00\% | 40.00\% 35.24\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  | 85.00\% |
| $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14.29\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4.76 \%$ | Remark |
|  | Every time | Usually | Occasionally/ Sometimes | Rarely | Never | Very Good |

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Regularly | $37.14 \%$ |
| Often | $28.57 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $18.10 \%$ |
| Rarely | $10.48 \%$ |
| Never | $5.71 \%$ |

8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

| Ratings | $\%$ of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Significantly | $21.90 \%$ |
| Very well | $49.52 \%$ |
| Moderately | $21.90 \%$ |
| Marginally | $5.71 \%$ |
| Not at all | $0.95 \%$ |

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | $26.67 \%$ |
| Agree | $49.52 \%$ |
| Neutral | $16.19 \%$ |
| Disagree | $3.81 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $3.81 \%$ |




| 10. Teachers inform you about your expected <br> competencies, course outcomes and programme <br> outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| Every time | $39.05 \%$ |
| Usually | $42.86 \%$ |
| Occasionally/ Sometimes | $11.43 \%$ |
| Rarely | $4.76 \%$ |
| Never | $1.90 \%$ |



| 11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with <br> an assigned task to you. <br> Ratings |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Every time | \% of Students |
| Usually | $35.24 \%$ |
| Occasionally/ Sometimes | $40.00 \%$ |
| Rarely | $13.33 \%$ |
| I don't have a mento |  |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% \% Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% $35.24 \%$ ( 84.29\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13.33 | 7.62\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | - | Remark |
|  | Every time | Usually | Occasionally/ Sometimes | Rarely | I don't have a mentor | Very Good |


| 12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. |  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 80 \% \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |  | 43.81\% |  | $7.62 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Every time | 43.81\% | 20\% |  | 40.95\% |  | $5.71 \%$ | 1.90\% | 87.86\% |
| Usually | 40.95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87.86 |
| Occasionally/ Sometimes | 7.62\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Rarely | 5.71\% |  | Every time | Usually | Occasionally/ Sometimes | Rarely | Never | Excellent |
| Never | 1.90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. |  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 80 \% \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratings | \% of Students |  | 37.14\% | 38.10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Fully | 37.14\% |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | 84.71 \% |
| Reasonably | 38.10\% | 20\% |  |  |  |  |  | 84.71 |
| Partially | 13.33\% |  |  |  | 13.33\% | 8.57\% | 2.86\% | Remark |
| Slightly | 8.57\% | 0\% | Fully |  |  |  |  | Very Good |
| Unable to | 2.86\% |  |  | Reasonably | Partially | Slightly | Unable to | Very Good |

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% \% Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% 40.95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% | 32.38\% |  |  |  |  | 83.57\% |
| 20\% |  |  | 15.24\% | 7.62\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| 0\% | Every time | Usually | Occasionally/ Sometimes | Rarely | Never | Very Good |


| 15. The institution makes effort to engage students <br> in the monitoring, review and continuous quality <br> improvement of the teaching learning |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | $\%$ of Students |
| Strongly agree | $29.52 \%$ |
| Agree | $43.81 \%$ |
| Neutral | $18.10 \%$ |
| Disagree | $5.71 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $2.86 \%$ |


16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for nhancing learning experiences.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| To a great extent | $33.33 \%$ |
| Moderate | $42.86 \%$ |
| Some what | $14.29 \%$ |
| Very little | $8.57 \%$ |
| Not at all | $0.95 \%$ |


17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | $30.48 \%$ |
| Agree | $41.90 \%$ |
| Neutral | $19.05 \%$ |
| Disagree | $5.71 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $2.86 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% 41.90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% | 30.48\% |  |  |  |  | 83.71\% |
| $20 \%$$0 \%$ |  |  | 19.05\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2.86\% | Remark |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Very Good |


| 18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to <br> inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability <br> skills to make you ready for the world of work. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| To a great extent | $37.14 \%$ |
| Moderate | $38.10 \%$ |
| Some what | $17.14 \%$ |
| Very little | $3.81 \%$ |
| Not at all | $3.81 \%$ |
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## STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY (SESSION 2021 -22 ODD SEM)

## Part-B: Institutional Facilities and Support

## Year/Semester/Section: III/V/A \& B

| . Adequacy of Laboratory facilities during  <br> online teaching learning  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | $\%$ of Students |
| Excellent | $32.38 \%$ |
| Very good | $35.24 \%$ |
| Good | $20.95 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.67 \%$ |
| Poor | $4.76 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% \% Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% | 32.38\% | 35.24\% |  |  |  | 82.57\% |
| 20\% |  |  | 20.95\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6.67\% | 4.76\% | Remark |
| 0\% | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very Good |


| Availability of learning resources (PPTs, pdfs, notes,  <br> contents etc.)  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |
| Excellent | $30.48 \%$ |
| Very good | $34.29 \%$ |
| Good | $26.67 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.67 \%$ |
| Poor | $1.90 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% | 30.48\% | 34.29\% | 26.67\% |  |  | 82.71\% |
| 20\% |  |  |  | 6.67\% | 1.90\% | Remark |
| 0\% | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | Very Good |


| 3. Mechanism and approach to provide exposure to external world through Guest Lectures, Expert Talks etc. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 80 \% \\ 60 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent | 26.67\% | 40\% |  | 38.10\% |  |  |  | 81.71\% |
| Very good | 38.10\% |  | 26.67\% |  | 25.71\% |  |  |  |
| Good | 25.71\% | 20\% |  |  |  | 5.71\% | 3.81\% | Remark |
| Fair | 5.71\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  | Very Good |
| Poor | 3.81\% |  | Excellent | very good | Good | Fair | Poor |  |


| 4. IT connectivity with Faculties during online  <br> classes.  <br> Ratings  \% of Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Excellent | $27.62 \%$ |
| Very good | $29.52 \%$ |
| Good | $32.38 \%$ |
| Fair | $7.62 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.86 \%$ |



| 5. Overall approach and commitment of the <br> Department towards providing quality Technical <br> and Professional Education. <br> Ratings  <br> Excellent \% of Students <br> Very good $34.29 \%$ <br> Good $31.43 \%$ <br> Fair $22.86 \%$ <br> Poor $6.67 \%$ <br>   |
| :--- |




| 7. Students Guidance and mentoring facilities |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | $\%$ of Students |
| Excellent | $28.57 \%$ |
| Very good | $29.52 \%$ |
| Good | $30.48 \%$ |
| Fair | $7.62 \%$ |
| Poor | $3.81 \%$ |


| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% | 28.57\% | 29.52\% | 30.48\% |  |  | 80.71\% |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |  | 7.62\% | 3.81\% | Remark |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | ery Good |


| 8. Use of modern tools and methods |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ |  | 35.24\% | 26.67\% |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent | 27.62\% | $60 \%$$40 \%$$20 \%$ | 27.62\% |  |  |  |  | 81.71 |
| Very good | 35.24\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81.71 |
| Good | 26.67\% |  |  |  |  | 8.57\% | 1.90\% | Remark |
| Fair | 8.57\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor | 1.90\% |  | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | ery Good |


| 9. Library Facility |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent | 30.48\% | 60\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Very good | 31.43\% | 40\% | 30.48\% | 31.43\% | 21.90\% |  |  | 80.71 |
| Good | 21.90\% | 20\% |  |  |  | 11.43\% | 4.76\% | Remark |
| Fair | 11.43\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Poor | 4.76\% |  | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair | Poor | ry Good |
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