



## Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering

*"Emerge as a center for quality education in Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, so as to create competent professionals"*



### Academic Session 2021-22 (EVEN) Student Satisfaction Survey Report

Date: 06/05/2022

Class: 3rd Year / 6th Semester (Section-A)


Class Incharge: Dr. Trupti Nagrare

No. of Participants involved in Feedback: 47

#### PART-A: Teaching Learning Process


1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
85 to 100%	40.43%	84.04%
70 to 84%	55.32%	
55 to 69%	4.26%	Remark
30 to 54%	0.00%	Very Good
Below 30%	0.00%	




2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Thoroughly	31.91%	81.91%
Satisfactorily	63.83%	
Poorly	4.26%	Remark
Indifferently	0.00%	Very Good
Won't teach at all	0.00%	




3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Always effective	46.81%	85.11%
Sometimes effective	51.06%	
Just satisfactorily	0.00%	Remark
Generally ineffective	0.00%	Excellent
Very poor communication	2.13%	




4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	29.79%	78.19%
Very good	57.45%	
Good	10.64%	Remark
Fair	0.00%	Very Good
Poor	2.13%	




5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Always fair	36.17%	79.79%
Usually fair	53.19%	
Sometimes unfair	6.38%	Remark
Usually unfair	2.13%	Very Good
Unfair	2.13%	




6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	36.17%	78.19%
Usually	46.81%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	12.77%	Remark
Rarely	2.13%	Very Good
Never	2.13%	




7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Regularly	34.04%	75.53%
Often	40.43%	
Sometimes	19.15%	Remark
Rarely	6.38%	Very Good
Never	0.00%	




8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Significantly	36.17%	77.13%
Very well	42.55%	
Moderately	17.02%	Remark
Marginally	2.13%	Very Good
Not at all	2.13%	




9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	31.91%	76.60%
Agree	46.81%	
Neutral	19.15%	Remark
Disagree	0.00%	Very Good
Strongly disagree	2.13%	




10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	36.17%	79.26%
Usually	48.94%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	12.77%	Remark
Rarely	0.00%	Very Good
Never	2.13%	




11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	40.43%	77.13%
Usually	38.30%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	12.77%	Remark
Rarely	6.38%	Very Good
I don't have a Mentor	2.13%	




12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	38.30%	80.32%
Usually	48.94%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	10.64%	Remark
Rarely	0.00%	Very Good
Never	2.13%	




13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Fully	40.43%	79.79%
Reasonably	46.81%	
Partially	6.38%	Remark
Slightly	4.26%	Very Good
Unable to	2.13%	




14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	38.30%	76.06%
Usually	34.04%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	21.28%	Remark
Rarely	6.38%	Very Good
Never	0.00%	




15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	36.17%	76.06%
Agree	40.43%	
Neutral	17.02%	Remark
Disagree	4.26%	Very Good
Strongly disagree	2.13%	




16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
To a great extent	31.91%	77.66%
Moderate	51.06%	
Some what	14.89%	Remark
Very little	0.00%	Very Good
Not at all	2.13%	




17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	38.30%	77.66%
Agree	38.30%	
Neutral	21.28%	Remark
Disagree	0.00%	Very Good
Strongly disagree	2.13%	




18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
To a great extent	38.30%	79.26%
Moderate	46.81%	
Some what	10.64%	Remark
Very little	2.13%	
Not at all	2.13%	




19. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Above 90%	23.40%	71.81%
70 – 89%	51.06%	
50 – 69%	19.15%	Remark
30 – 49%	2.13%	
Below 29%	4.26%	



20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	31.91%	77.13%
Agree	53.19%	
Neutral	10.64%	Remark
Disagree	0.00%	
Strongly disagree	4.26%	






## PART-B: Institutional Facilities and Support


### 1 Adequacy of Laboratory facilities (Space/Ventilation/number of set-ups)

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	34.04%	77.13%
Very Good	42.55%	
Good	21.28%	Remark
Fair	2.13%	Very Good
Poor	0.00%	




### 2 Mechanism and approach to provide exposure to external world through Field Visit, Guest Lectures

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	25.53%	76.06%
Very Good	57.45%	
Good	14.89%	Remark
Fair	0.00%	Very Good
Poor	2.13%	




### 3 Infrastructure (Furniture/Black Board/Illumination/Fans/Flooring etc.)

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.66%	74.47%
Very Good	46.81%	
Good	21.28%	Remark
Fair	4.26%	Very Good
Poor	0.00%	




### 4 Overall approach and commitment of the Department towards providing quality Technical and Professional Education.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	29.79%	75.53%
Very Good	44.68%	
Good	23.40%	Remark
Fair	2.13%	Very Good
Poor	0.00%	




### 5 Mechanism and approach to deal with students/parents grievances

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	25.53%	73.94%
Very Good	51.06%	
Good	19.15%	Remark
Fair	2.13%	Very Good
Poor	2.13%	




### 6 Students Guidance and mentoring facilities

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	23.40%	75.00%
Very Good	57.45%	
Good	14.89%	Remark
Fair	4.26%	Very Good
Poor	0.00%	




**7 Availability of modern instruments and arrangements/facilities to provide hands on experience**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.66%	73.40%
Very Good	44.68%	
Good	23.40%	Remark
Fair	2.13%	Very Good
Poor	2.13%	




**8 Library Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.66%	72.87%
Very Good	44.68%	
Good	21.28%	Remark
Fair	4.26%	Very Good
Poor	2.13%	




**9 Sports Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	23.40%	61.70%
Very Good	27.66%	
Good	25.53%	Remark
Fair	19.15%	Good
Poor	4.26%	




**10 Canteen Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	23.40%	61.70%
Very Good	38.30%	
Good	17.02%	Remark
Fair	4.26%	Good
Poor	17.02%	




**11 Transport Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.66%	66.49%
Very Good	34.04%	
Good	21.28%	Remark
Fair	10.64%	Good
Poor	6.38%	




**12 Internet Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	21.28%	63.83%
Very Good	42.55%	
Good	17.02%	Remark
Fair	8.51%	Good
Poor	10.64%	




**13 Sanitation Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	21.28%	64.36%
Very Good	38.30%	
Good	23.40%	Remark
Fair	10.64%	Good
Poor	6.38%	




**14 First Aid Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	25.53%	67.55%
Very Good	42.55%	
Good	17.02%	Remark
Fair	6.38%	Good
Poor	8.51%	




**15 Security Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	23.40%	68.09%
Very Good	42.55%	
Good	23.40%	Remark
Fair	4.26%	Good
Poor	6.38%	



**16 Drinking Water**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	29.79%	67.55%
Very Good	34.04%	
Good	21.28%	Remark
Fair	6.38%	Good
Poor	8.51%	




**Prof. Nicky S. Balani**  
Feedback Incharge

**Dr. Abhay R. Kasetwar**  
Head of Department



**Department of  
Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering**

*“Emerge as a center for quality education in Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, so as to create competent professionals”*



**Academic Session 2021-22 (EVEN)  
Student Satisfaction Survey Report**

Date: 06/05/2022

Class: 3rd Year / 6th Semester (Section-B)


Class Incharge: Prof. Ashwini Anjekar

No. of Participants involved in Feedback **44**

**PART-A: Teaching Learning Process**


1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
85 to 100%	34.09%	80.11%
70 to 84%	52.27%	
55 to 69%	13.64%	Remark
30 to 54%	0.00%	Very Good
Below 30%	0.00%	




2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Thoroughly	25.00%	79.55%
Satisfactorily	68.18%	
Poorly	6.82%	Remark
Indifferently	0.00%	Very Good
Won't teach at all	0.00%	




3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Always effective	38.64%	78.41%
Sometimes effective	40.91%	
Just satisfactorily	18.18%	Remark
Generally ineffective	0.00%	Very Good
Very poor communication	2.27%	




4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	20.45%	71.02%
Very good	50.00%	
Good	25.00%	Remark
Fair	2.27%	Very Good
Poor	2.27%	




5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Always fair	38.64%	78.41%
Usually fair	40.91%	
Sometimes unfair	18.18%	Remark
Usually unfair	0.00%	Very Good
Unfair	2.27%	




6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	36.36%	75.00%
Usually	36.36%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	20.45%	Remark
Rarely	4.55%	Very Good
Never	2.27%	




7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, field visit opportunities for students.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Regularly	38.64%	75.00%
Often	34.09%	
Sometimes	18.18%	Remark
Rarely	6.82%	Very Good
Never	2.27%	




8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Significantly	27.27%	72.16%
Very well	40.91%	
Moderately	27.27%	Remark
Marginally	2.27%	Very Good
Not at all	2.27%	




9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	15.91%	69.89%
Agree	56.82%	
Neutral	20.45%	Remark
Disagree	4.55%	Good
Strongly disagree	2.27%	




10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	27.27%	73.30%
Usually	45.45%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	22.73%	Remark
Rarely	2.27%	Very Good
Never	2.27%	




11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	29.55%	75.57%
Usually	52.27%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	13.64%	Remark
Rarely	0.00%	Very Good
I don't have a Mentor	4.55%	




12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	34.09%	75.57%
Usually	43.18%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	15.91%	Remark
Rarely	4.55%	Very Good
Never	2.27%	




13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Fully	31.82%	74.43%
Reasonably	45.45%	
Partially	15.91%	Remark
Slightly	2.27%	Very Good
Unable to	4.55%	




14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Every time	25.00%	69.32%
Usually	43.18%	
Occasionally/ Sometimes	20.45%	Remark
Rarely	6.82%	Good
Never	4.55%	




15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	18.18%	70.45%
Agree	56.82%	
Neutral	18.18%	Remark
Disagree	2.27%	Very Good
Strongly disagree	4.55%	




16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
To a great extent	22.73%	72.16%
Moderate	54.55%	
Some what	15.91%	Remark
Very little	2.27%	Very Good
Not at all	4.55%	



17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.


Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Strongly agree	22.73%	68.75%
Agree	47.73%	
Neutral	18.18%	Remark
Disagree	4.55%	Good
Strongly disagree	6.82%	



**PART-B: Institutional Facilities and Support**


**1 Adequacy of Laboratory facilities (Space/Ventilation/number of set-ups)**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	22.73%	63.64%
Very Good	38.64%	
Good	15.91%	Remark
Fair	15.91%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**2 Mechanism and approach to provide exposure to external world through Field Visit, Guest Lectures**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	25.00%	64.20%
Very Good	34.09%	
Good	20.45%	Remark
Fair	13.64%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**3 Infrastructure (Furniture/Black Board/Illumination/Fans/Flooring etc.)**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	18.18%	60.23%
Very Good	34.09%	
Good	25.00%	Remark
Fair	15.91%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**4 Overall approach and commitment of the Department towards providing quality Technical and Professional Education.**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.27%	63.07%
Very Good	29.55%	
Good	18.18%	Remark
Fair	18.18%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**5 Mechanism and approach to deal with students/parents grievances**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	22.73%	64.77%
Very Good	36.36%	
Good	25.00%	Remark
Fair	9.09%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**6 Students Guidance and mentoring facilities**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.27%	64.77%
Very Good	31.82%	
Good	20.45%	Remark
Fair	13.64%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**7 Availability of modern instruments and arrangements/facilities to provide hands on experience**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.27%	64.20%
Very Good	25.00%	
Good	31.82%	Remark
Fair	9.09%	Good
Poor	6.82%	




**8 Library Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	31.82%	66.48%
Very Good	22.73%	
Good	29.55%	Remark
Fair	11.36%	Good
Poor	4.55%	




**9 Sports Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	20.45%	53.41%
Very Good	29.55%	
Good	13.64%	Remark
Fair	15.91%	Fair
Poor	20.45%	




**10 Canteen Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	20.45%	46.59%
Very Good	22.73%	
Good	9.09%	Remark
Fair	18.18%	Fair
Poor	29.55%	




**11 Transport Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	22.73%	56.25%
Very Good	31.82%	
Good	11.36%	Remark
Fair	15.91%	Good
Poor	18.18%	



**12 Internet Facility**


Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	13.64%	48.30%
Very Good	34.09%	
Good	13.64%	Remark
Fair	9.09%	Fair
Poor	29.55%	






**13 Sanitation Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	20.45%	54.55%
Very Good	25.00%	
Good	22.73%	Remark
Fair	15.91%	Fair
Poor	15.91%	




**14 First Aid Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	20.45%	54.55%
Very Good	29.55%	
Good	15.91%	Remark
Fair	15.91%	Fair
Poor	18.18%	




**15 Security Facility**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	22.73%	55.68%
Very Good	25.00%	
Good	18.18%	Remark
Fair	20.45%	Good
Poor	13.64%	



**16 Drinking Water**

Response	% Response	% Satisfaction
Excellent	27.27%	57.95%
Very Good	22.73%	
Good	20.45%	Remark
Fair	13.64%	Good
Poor	15.91%	



**Prof. Nicky S. Balani**  
**Feedback Incharge**

**Dr. Abhay R. Kasetwar**  
**Head of Department**