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## Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

## Semester: 7th Semester

Number of Students participated: 43

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

| \% of Syllabus | \% of Students | $50.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 to 100\% | 44.19\% |  | 44.19\% |  |  |  |  | 83.72\% |
| 70 to $84 \%$ | 39.53\% | $\begin{aligned} & 40.00 \% \\ & 30.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 39.53\% |  |  |  |  |
| 55 to 69\% | 13.95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| 30 to 54\% | 2.33\% | $\begin{array}{r} 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  | 13.95\% |  |  | Very Good |
| Below 30\% | 0.00\% |  | 85 to $100 \%$ | $70 \text { to } 84 \%$ | 55 to $69 \%$ | $30 \text { to } 54 \%$ | Be:00\% |  |

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Always effective | 39.53\% |  |  | 55.81\% |  |  |  |
| Sometimes effective | 55.81\% | 40.00\% | 39.53\% |  |  |  |  |
| Just satisfactorily | 2.33\% | 20.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Generally ineffective | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |  | 2.33\% | -0:00\% | 2.33\% |
| Very poor communication | 2.33\% |  | $\left(x^{3}\right.$ | $500^{0^{i v}}$ | $y^{55^{x}}$ | $\sigma^{e^{e^{v^{2}}}}$ | $y^{e r t}$ |


| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $95.35 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Excellent |

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \% \\ & 40.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Always fair | 37.21\% |  |  | 53.49\% |  |  |  |
| Usually fair | 53.49\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sometimes unfair | 4.65\% | $\begin{gathered} 20.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Usually unfair | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.33\% } \\ & \text { Unfair } \end{aligned}$ |
| Usually unfair | 2.33\% |  | Always fair | Usually fair |  |  |  |
| Unfair | 2.33\% |  |  |  | Sometimes unfair |  |  |


| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0 . 7 0 \%}$ |
| Remark |
| Excellent |

[^0]| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 40.00 \% \\ 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 46.51\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usually | 41.86\% |  | 46.51\% |  |  |  |  | 88.37\% |
| Occasionally/Someti mes | 6.98\% |  |  | 41.86\% | 6.98\% | 33\% | 3\% | Remark |
| Rarely | 2.33\% |  | $0^{0^{4}}$ |  | $-0^{0^{5}}$ | $x^{e^{4}}$ | $e^{e^{2}}$ | Excellent |
| Never | 2.33\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $50.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | isfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 39.53\% |  |  | 48.84\% |  |  |  | ction |
| Agree | 48.84\% | $\begin{gathered} 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | 39.53\% |  |  |  |  | 88.37\% |
| Neutral | 9.30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disagree | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Strongly disagree | 2.33\% |  |  |  | 9.30\% | 0:00\% $2.33 \%$ <br> Disagree Strongly <br> disagree |  |  |
|  |  |  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral |  |  | Excellent |

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.


12. The teachers illustrate the concepts throngh examnles and annlications.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 40.00 \% \\ 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 44.19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| Usually | 46.51\% |  | 44.19\% | 46.51\% |  |  |  | 90.70\% |
| Occasionally/Someti mes | 6.98\% |  |  |  | 6.98\% | 0:00\% | $233 \%$ | Remark |
| Rarely <br> Never | 0.00\% |  | $\left\langle v^{v^{2} t}\right.$ | $v^{s^{\left(0^{\prime \prime t}\right.}}$ | $0^{c^{0^{0^{\circ}}}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\delta^{8 t}}$ | $2^{e^{v^{2}} e^{x}}$ | Excellent |

13.The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 40.00 \% \\ 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully | 41.86\% |  | 41.86\% | 44.19\% |  |  |  |  |
| Reasonably | 44.19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.05\% |
| Partially | 9.30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Slightly | 2.33\% |  |  |  | 9.30\% | -233\% | 233\% - |  |
| Unable to | 2.33\% |  | Fully | Reasonably | Partially | Slightly | Unable to | Excellent |

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 50.00 \% \\ & 40.00 \% \\ & 30.00 \% \\ & 20.00 \% \\ & 10.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 48.84\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| Usually | 41.86\% |  | 48.84\% |  |  |  |  | 90.70\% |
| Occasionally/Someti mes | 6.98\% |  |  | 41.86 | 6.98\% |  |  | Remark |
| Rarely | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  | -0:00\%- | $33 \%-$ |  |
| Never | 2.33\% |  |  |  | $0^{c^{0^{2}}}$ |  | $2^{2}$ | Excellent |

15.The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process

| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 44.19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 44.19\% |  |  |  |  |  | 88.37\% |
| Neutral | 6.98\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Disagree | 2.33\% |  |  | 6.98\% | -2.33\% | -233\% |  |
| Strongly disagree | 2.33\% | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Excellent |

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \% \\ & 40.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To great extent | 37.21\% |  |  |  |
| Moderate | 53.49\% |  |  | 90.70\% |
| Some what | 4.65\% | 20.00\% | 53.49\% | Remark |


17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| To great extent | $34.88 \%$ |
| Moderate | $55.81 \%$ |
| Some what | $4.65 \%$ |
| Very Little | $2.33 \%$ |
| Not at All | $2.33 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0 . 7 0 \%}$ |
| Remark |
|  |
| Excellent |

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 28.57\% |  |  | 54.29\% |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 54.29\% | 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 82.86\% |
| Neutral | 11.43\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Disagree | 2.86\% | 0.00\% |  |  | 11.43\% | -2.86\% | 0:00 |  |
| Strongly disagree | 0.00\% |  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Very Good |



Head of Department
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## Student Satisfaction Survey Part B (Facility ) Feedback Analysis

## Semester: 7th Semester

Number of Students participated: 43

1. Adequacy of Laboratory facilities during online teaching learning

| \% of Syllabus | \% of Students | 40.00\% <br> $30.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 34.88\% |  |  | 34.88\% |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 34.88\% | 20.00\% |  |  | 23.26\% |  |  | 93.02\% |
| Good | 23.26\% | $\begin{gathered} 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 4.65\% |  |  |  |  | 4.65\% | -2.33\% | Excellent |
| Poor | 2.33\% |  | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | Fair | Poor |  |

2. Availability of learning resources (PPTs

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $34.88 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $39.53 \%$ |
| Good | $18.60 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.33 \%$ |
| Poor | $4.65 \%$ |


3. Mechanism and approach to provide exposure to external world through Guest Lectures, Expert Talks etc.

| Ratings | \% of Students | 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 37.21\% | $\begin{aligned} & 30.00 \% \\ & 20.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 72.09\% |
| Vey Good | 34.88\% |  | 37.21\% | 34.88\% | 23.26\% |  |  |  |
| Good | 23.26\% | $\begin{gathered} 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 2.33\% |  |  |  |  | -2.33\% | 233\% | Very Good |
| Poor | 2.33\% |  | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | Fair | Poor |  |

4. IT connectivity with Faculties during online classes.

| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Satisfaction } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 32.56\% |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 41.86\% |  |  |  | 74.42\% |
| Good | 20.93\% |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 2.33\% |  |  | 233\% -233\% | Very Good |
| Poor | 2.33\% |  | Good | Fair Poor | Very Good |

5. Overall approach and commitment of the Department towards providing quality Technical and Professional Education.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 40.00 \% \\ & 30.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 39.53\% |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 34.88\% |  | 34.88\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 39.53\% | 20.00\% |  |  | 20.93\% |  |  | 74.42\% |
| Good | 20.93\% | 10.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 2.33\% |  |  |  |  | 2.33\% $2.33 \%$ |  |  |
| Poor | 2.33\% | $0.00 \%$ | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | $\begin{gathered} 2.33 \% \\ \text { Fair } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.33 \% \\ \text { Poor } \end{gathered}$ | Very Good |

6. Mechanism and approach to deal with students/parents grievances

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $32.56 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $41.86 \%$ |
| Good | $20.93 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.33 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.33 \%$ |



| $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $74.42 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

7. Students Guidance and mentoring facilities

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $32.56 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $37.21 \%$ |
| Good | $25.58 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.33 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.33 \%$ |



| \% |
| :---: |
| Satisfaction |$|$| $69.77 \%$ |
| :---: |
| Remark |
| Good |

8. Use of modern tools and methods

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $27.91 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $41.86 \%$ |
| Good | $25.58 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.33 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.33 \%$ |



| $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $69.77 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Good |

9. Library Facility

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $32.56 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $39.53 \%$ |
| Good | $20.93 \%$ |
| Fair | $4.65 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.33 \%$ |



| $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $72.09 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |



Feedback Incharge


Head of Department


[^0]:    6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?
