

## Session:2021-22(EVEN)

## Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

## Semester: 6th Semester /Section A

Number of Students participated: 44

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thoroughly | $27.27 \%$ |
| Satisfactorily | $59.09 \%$ |
| Poorly | $11.36 \%$ |
| Indifferently | $0.00 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Won't teach at all | $2.27 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 6 . 3 6 \%}$ |
| Remark |
| Excellent |

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Always effective | $31.82 \%$ |
| Sometimes effective | $50.00 \%$ |
| Just satisfactorily | $9.09 \%$ |
| Generally ineffective | $4.55 \%$ |
| Very poor <br> communication | $4.55 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 2 \%}$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thoroughly | $25.00 \%$ |
| Satisfactorily | $43.18 \%$ |
| Poorly | $29.55 \%$ |
| Indifferently | $0.00 \%$ |
| Won't teach at all | $2.27 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $68.18 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Good |

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Always fair | $25.00 \%$ |
| Usually fair | $54.55 \%$ |
| Sometimes unfair | $15.91 \%$ |
| Usually unfair | $2.27 \%$ |
| Unfair | $2.27 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $79.55 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regularly | 22.73\% |  |  | 56.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| Often | 56.82\% | 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sometimes | 9.09\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.55\% |
| Rarely | 4.55\% | 20.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Never | 6.82\% |  | 22.73\% |  | 9.09\% |  | 6.82\% |  |
|  |  | 0.00\% | Regularly | Often | Sometimes | Rarely | Never | Very Good |

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $50.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 29.55\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 40.91\% | $\begin{aligned} & 40.00 \% \\ & 30.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 40.91\% |  |  |  | 70.45\% |
| Neutral | 20.45\% | $\begin{array}{r} 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  | 20.45\% |  |  |  |
| Disagree | 4.55\% |  | 29.55\% |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Strongly disagree | 4.55\% |  |  |  |  | 4.55\% | 4.55\% |  |
|  |  |  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Very Good |

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Every time | $31.82 \%$ |
| Usually | $54.55 \%$ |
| Occasionally/Someti <br> mes | $6.82 \%$ |
| Rarely | $2.27 \%$ |
| Never | $4.55 \%$ |


11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \% \\ & 40.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 31.82\% |  |  | 54.55\% |  |  |  |  |
| Usually | 54.55\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.36\% |
| Occasionally/Someti mes | 9.09\% | 20.00\% | 31.82\% |  | 9.09\% | -0:00\% | -4.55\% | Remark |
| Rarely | 0.00\% |  | $\left\rangle^{20^{t}}\right.$ | $\nu^{s^{\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right.}}$ | $5^{\circ}$ |  | $\sqrt{2} e^{e^{x}}$ |  |
| Never | 4.55\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent |

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Every time | $27.27 \%$ |
| Usually | $56.82 \%$ |
| Occasionally/Someti <br> mes | $11.36 \%$ |
| Rarely | $2.27 \%$ |
| Never | $2.27 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 4 . 0 9 \%}$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

13.The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully | 25.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| Reasonably | 50.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 75.00\% |
| Partially | 18.18\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Slightly | 2.27\% |  |  | 18.18\% | -227\% | -4:55\% |  |
| Unable to | 4.55\% | Fully | Reasonably | Partially | Slightly | Unable to | Very Good |

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

15.The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{gathered} 50.00 \% \\ 40.00 \% \\ 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | 50.00\% |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 25.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 50.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.00\% |
| Neutral | 18.18\% |  | 25.00\% |  | 18.18\% |  |  | Remark |
| Disagree | 4.55\% |  |  | Agree | Neutral |  | $-2.27 \%=$ |  |
| Strongly disagree | 2.27\% |  | agree |  |  |  | disagree | Very Good |

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To great extent | 22.73\% |  |  | 56.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate | 56.82\% | 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 79.55\% |
| Some what | 15.91\% | 20.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Very Little | 2.27\% |  |  |  | 15.91\% | 2.27\% | 2.27\% |  |
| Not at All | 2.27\% |  | To great extent | Moderate | Some what | Very Little | Not at All | Very Good |

17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 22.73\% | 40.00\% <br> 20.00\% |  | 59.09\% |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| Agree | 59.09\% |  |  |  | 11.36\% |  |  | 81.82\% |
| Neutral | 11.36\% |  | 22.73\% |  |  | Disagree |  | Remark |
| Disagree | 4.55\% | 0.00\% | Strongly | Agree | Neutral |  | Strongly |  |
| Strongly disagree | 2.27\% |  | agree |  |  |  | disagree | Very Good |

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| To great extent | $20.45 \%$ |
| Moderate | $56.82 \%$ |
| Some what | $13.64 \%$ |
| Very Little | $6.82 \%$ |
| Not at All | $2.27 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $77.27 \%$ |
| Remark |
|  |
| Very Good |

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $80.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Above 90\% | 22.73\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70-89\% | 61.36\% |  |  | 61.36\% |  |  |  | 84.09\% |
| 50-69\% | 11.36\% | \% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| $30-49 \%$ | 2.27\% | $0.00 \%$ | 22.73\% |  | 11.36\% | 2.27\% | 2.27\% | Very Good |
| Below 29\% | 2.27\% |  | Above 90\% | 70-89\% | 50-69\% | 30-49\% | Below 29\% |  |

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strongly agree | $20.45 \%$ |
| Agree | $61.36 \%$ |
| Neutral | $11.36 \%$ |
| Disagree | $4.55 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $2.27 \%$ |




Feedback Incharge


Head of Department

Session:2021-22(EVEN)

## Student Satisfaction Survey Part B (Facility ) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 6th Semester/Section A
Number of Students participated: 44

1. Adequacy of Laboratory facilities during online teaching learning

| \% of Syllabus | \% of Students | 40.00\% <br> 30.00\% <br> 20.00\% <br> $10.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Satisfaction } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 22.73\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 38.64\% |  |  |  | 27.27\% |  |  |  |
| Good | 27.27\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 9.09\% |  |  |  | 9.09\% |  | Good |
| Poor | 2.27\% | $0.00 \%$ | Excellent | Vey Good |  | Good |  | Fair | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \% \\ & \text { Poor } \end{aligned}$ |

2. Availability of learning resources (PPTs, pdfs, notes, contents etc.)

3. Mechanism and approach to provide exposure to external world through Guest Lectures, Expert Talks etc.

| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Satisfaction } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 18.18\% |  |  |  |  | 65.91\% |
| Vey Good | 47.73\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good | 22.73\% |  | 22.73\% |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 9.09\% |  |  | 9.09\% |  | Good |
| Poor | 2.27\% |  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |

4. IT connectivity with Faculties during online classes.

| Ratings | \% of Students | 50.00\% 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 22.73\% |  |  | 40.91\% |  |  |  | 63.64\% |
| Vey Good | 40.91\% | $\begin{gathered} 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 25.00\% |  |  |  |
| Good | 25.00\% |  | 22.73\% |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 9.09\% |  |  |  |  | 9.09\% | 2.27\% | Good |
| Poor | 2.27\% |  | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | Fair | Poor |  |

5. Overall approach and commitment of the Department towards providing quality Technical and Professional Education.

| Ratings | \% of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $20.45 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $40.91 \%$ |
| Good | $27.27 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.82 \%$ |
| Poor | $4.55 \%$ |



| $\%$ |
| :---: |
| Satisfaction |$|$| $61.36 \%$ |
| :---: |
| Remark |
| Good |

6. Mechanism and approach to deal with students/parents grievances

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{gathered} 50.00 \% \\ 40.00 \% \\ 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 20.45\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 43.18\% |  |  | 43.18\% |  |  |  | 63.64\% |
| Good | 27.27\% |  | 20.45\% |  | 27.27\% |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 4.55\% |  |  |  |  | 4.55\% | 4.55\% | G00 |
| Poor | 4.55\% |  | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | Fair | Poor |  |

7. Students Guidance and mentoring facilities

| Ratings | \% of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $15.91 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $54.55 \%$ |
| Good | $20.45 \%$ |
| Fair | $6.82 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.27 \%$ |



| $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $70.45 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

8. Use of modern tools and methods

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $15.91 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $45.45 \%$ |
| Good | $27.27 \%$ |
| Fair | $9.09 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.27 \%$ |



| $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $61.36 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Good |

## 9. Library Facility

| Ratings | $\%$ of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $27.27 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $38.64 \%$ |
| Good | $22.73 \%$ |
| Fair | $9.09 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.27 \%$ |



| \% <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $65.91 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Good |



Feedback Incharge


Head of Department


## Session:2021-22(EVEN)

## Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 6th Semester/Section B
Number of Students participated: 49

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% <br> 40.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thoroughly | 51.02\% |  | 51.02\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satisfactorily | 40.82\% |  |  | 40.82\% |  |  |  | 91.84 |
| Poorly | 8.16\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Indifferently | 0.00\% | 20.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent |
| Won't teach at all | 0.00\% | Thoroughly Satisfactorily |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.16 \% \\ & \hline \text { Poorly } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indifferently } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Won't teach } \\ & \text { at all } \end{aligned}$ |  |

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

| Ratings | \% of Students | $60.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Always effective | 57.14\% |  | 57.14\% |  |  |  |  | 91.84\% |
| Sometimes effective | 34.69\% | $20.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Just satisfactorily | 8.16\% |  |  | 34.69\% |  |  |  | Remark |
| Generally ineffective | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 8.16\% |  |  | Excellent |
| Very poor communication | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | $20^{\left(v^{2} 5^{5}\right.}$ | $s_{0}^{0 e^{i x}}$ | $5^{55^{x}}$ |  | $j^{e^{t}}$ |  |

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thoroughly | $44.90 \%$ |
| Satisfactorily | $46.94 \%$ |
| Poorly | $6.12 \%$ |
| Indifferently | $2.04 \%$ |
| Won't teach at all | $0.00 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $91.84 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Excellent |

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Always fair | $57.14 \%$ |
| Usually fair | $34.69 \%$ |
| Sometimes unfair | $8.16 \%$ |
| Usually unfair | $0.00 \%$ |
| Unfair | $0.00 \%$ |



| $\%$ Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $91.84 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Excellent |

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Every time | $48.98 \%$ |
| Usually | $36.73 \%$ |
| Occasionally/Someti <br> mes | $10.20 \%$ |
| Rarely | $0.00 \%$ |
| Never | $4.08 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 5 . 7 1 \%}$ |
| Remark |
| Excellent |

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regularly | $42.86 \%$ |
| Often | $34.69 \%$ |
| Sometimes | $12.24 \%$ |
| Rarely | $8.16 \%$ |
| Never | $2.04 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $77.55 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Significantly | $46.94 \%$ |
| Very well | $34.69 \%$ |
| Moderately | $12.24 \%$ |
| Marginally | $4.08 \%$ |
| Not at all | $2.04 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $81.63 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $50.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 42.86\% |  | 42.86\% | 40.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 40.82\% | 30.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | 83.67\% |
| Neutral | 12.24\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disagree | 2.04\% | $\begin{array}{r} 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Strongly disagree | 2.04\% |  |  |  | 2.24 | 2.04\% | 2:04\% |  |
|  |  |  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Very Good |

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Every time | $57.14 \%$ |
| Usually | $34.69 \%$ |
| Occasionally/Someti <br> mes | $4.08 \%$ |
| Rarely | $4.08 \%$ |
| Never | $0.00 \%$ |


11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

| Ratings | \% of Students | 60.00\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 55.10\% | $\begin{array}{r} 40.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ | 55.10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usually | 38.78\% |  |  | 38.78\% |  |  |  | 93.88\% |
| Occasionally/Someti mes | 6.12\% |  |  |  | 6.12\% | -0:00\% | 0:00\% | Remark |
| Rarely | 0.00\% |  | <nt | $v^{s^{v^{0}}}$ | $0^{0^{0^{0^{5}}}}$ | $2^{22^{20+4}}$ | $2^{e^{e^{x}}}$ | Excellent |
| Never | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

13.The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 50.00 \% \\ & 40.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully | 40.82\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reasonably | 38.78\% | 30.00\% |  |  |  |  | 79.59\% |
| Partially | 16.33\% | 20.00\% - 40.82\% | 38.78\% |  |  |  | Remark |
| Slightly | 2.04\% |  |  | 6.3 | 2,04\% | -2:04\% - |  |
| Unable to | 2.04\% | Fully | Reasonably | Partially | Slightly | Unable to | Very Good |

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{array}{r} 50.00 \% \\ 40.00 \% \\ 30.00 \% \\ 20.00 \% \\ 10.00 \% \\ 0.00 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every time | 48.98\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| Usually | 38.78\% |  | 48.98\% |  |  |  |  | 87.76\% |
| Occasionally/Someti mes | 8.16\% |  |  | 38.78\% | 8.16\% | -2.04\% | . 04 | Remark |
| Rarely | 2.04\% |  |  |  |  | $a^{a^{4}}$ | $e^{e^{e^{x}}}$ |  |
| Never | 2.04\% |  |  | $\checkmark^{s}$ |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ | Excellent |

15.The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process

| Ratings | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  | \% Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 44.90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 40.82\% |  |  |  |  |  | 85.71\% |
| Neutral | 10.20\% |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Disagree | 0.00\% |  |  | 10.20\% | -0.00\% - | Strongly |  |
| Strongly disagree | 4.08\% | agree |  |  |  | disagree | Excellent |

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strongly agree | $42.86 \%$ |
| Agree | $40.82 \%$ |
| Neutral | $14.29 \%$ |
| Disagree | $0.00 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $2.04 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 3 . 6 7 \%}$ |
| Remark |
|  |
| Very Good |

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Above $90 \%$ | $46.94 \%$ |
| $70-89 \%$ | $40.82 \%$ |
| $50-69 \%$ | $12.24 \%$ |
| $30-49 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Below $29 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |



20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strongly agree | $36.73 \%$ |
| Agree | $42.86 \%$ |
| Neutral | $18.37 \%$ |
| Disagree | $2.04 \%$ |
| Strongly disagree | $0.00 \%$ |



| \% Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 9 \%}$ |
| Remark |
|  |
| Very Good |



Feedback Incharge


Head of Department

## S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT \& RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE \& ENGINEERING
SB ${ }_{\text {AII }}$

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science \& Engineering and to create competent professionals.
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## Student Satisfaction Survey Part B (Facility ) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 6th Semester/Section B
Number of Students participated: 49

1. Adequacy of Laboratory facilities during online teaching learning

| \% of Syllabus | \% of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction <br> $\mathbf{7 7 . 5 5 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 28.57\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 48.98\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good | 20.41\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 2.04\% |  |  |  | 20.41\% |  |  |  |
| Poor | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2:04\% } \\ \text { Fair } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0: 00 \%- \\ & \text { Poor } \end{aligned}$ | Very Good |

2. Availability of learning resources (PPTs, pdfs, notes, contents etc.)

3. Mechanism and approach to provide exposure to external world through Guest Lectures, Expert Talks etc.

4. IT connectivity with Faculties during online classes.

5. Overall approach and commitment of the Department towards providing quality Technical and Professional Education.

| Ratings | $\%$ of Students |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 32.65\% |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 44.90\% |  |  |  | 77.55\% |
| Good | 18.37\% |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 2.04\% |  | 18.37\% |  |  |
| Poor | 2.04\% |  | Good | $\begin{array}{cc} 2.04 \% & \text { 2.04\% } \\ \text { Fair } & \text { Poor } \end{array}$ | Very Good |

6. Mechanism and approach to deal with students/parents grievances

| Ratings | \% of Students | $\begin{aligned} & 50.00 \% \\ & 40.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 32.65\% |  |  | 40.82\% |  |  |  |  |
| Vey Good | 40.82\% | 20.00\% |  |  | 22.45\% |  |  | 73.47\% |
| Good | 22.45\% |  | 32.65\% |  |  |  |  | Remark |
| Fair | 4.08\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 4.08\% | 0\% | Very |
| Poor | 0.00\% |  | Excellent | Vey Good | Good | Fair | Poor | r |

7. Students Guidance and mentoring facilities

| Ratings | \% of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $36.73 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $38.78 \%$ |
| Good | $16.33 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.04 \%$ |
| Poor | $6.12 \%$ |



| $\%$ <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $75.51 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

8. Use of modern tools and methods

| Ratings | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $34.69 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $40.82 \%$ |
| Good | $18.37 \%$ |
| Fair | $2.04 \%$ |
| Poor | $4.08 \%$ |



| \% <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $75.51 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |

## 9. Library Facility

| Ratings | $\%$ of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent | $32.65 \%$ |
| Vey Good | $44.90 \%$ |
| Good | $20.41 \%$ |
| Fair | $0.00 \%$ |
| Poor | $2.04 \%$ |



| \% <br> Satisfaction |
| :---: |
| $77.55 \%$ |
| Remark |
| Very Good |
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